Alternative diagnosis for pain in patients who underwent appendectomies for normal appendices and the incidence of negative appendectomies
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ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Some gastrointestinal and genitourinary tract pathologies can simulate clinical features of acute appendicitis; we aim to determine the alternative diagnosis for the pain in which appendicitis was considered, and to find the incidence of negative appendectomies in our practice.

Methods: An observational study, including 558 patients, who underwent an appendectomy at Al Sulaimaniyah Teaching Hospital (STH) from the 2nd of January to the 30th of June 2009. Only patients who underwent urgent appendectomy and the specimen subjected to tissue examination were included. Appendices were labeled acutely inflamed when, macroscopically there were injections of mucosa, fibrinous or purulent film, edematous or necrotic changes of the wall and blood or pus on opening the appendix.

Results: Most of the patients were young between 20-40 years age with median age of 22 ± 7.7 years. Other pathologies presented in patients with macroscopically normal appendices, included 35 (6.27%) patients had purulent peritoneal fluids occured in young female with tubo-ovarian infections, 12 (2.15%) patients had mesenteric lymphadenitis and 37 (6.63%) patients had rupture Graafian follicles. Histologically normal appendix was present in 178 (31.89 %) patients, 61 (10.93%) of them were males and 117 (20.96%) were females.

Conclusion: Normal appendectomies were found in 32 % of the patients, more frequently in young female patients, undergoing early (within 6 hrs since the pain) appendectomy, with the most common alternative diagnosis of tubo-ovarian infections.
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الخلاصة

هدف البحث: بعض الحالات المرضية في الجهاز الهضمي والبولي –التناسلي يمكن أن تسبب أعراض مشابهة لالتهاب الزائدة الدودية الحاد، فأيّد أن تجد الأسباب المرضية في حالات التشابه حين تكون الزائدة الدودية غير ملتئمة مع معرفة مدى شيوخ هذه الظاهرة في عمالنا الجراح.

طرق البحث: شمل البحث (588) مريضا، أجريت لهم عمليات استئصال الزائدة الدودية، في المستشفى التعليمي في السليمانية من الثاني من كانون الثاني إلى 30 حزيران سنة 2009. شمل البحث الحالات التي أرسلت فيها الزائدة الدودية للفحص النسيجية فقط، وخلال العملية اعتبرت الزائدة الدودية ملتئمة عبر مشاهدة علامات عينية مثل تورم الغشاء الخارجي، وجود تليف والتصاق أو طبقة قيحية أو موات على جدار الزائدة الدودية، أو وجود دم في جرح فتح الزائدة الدودية.
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Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of urgent abdominal surgery (1), the accuracy of a clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis based on patient’s history and physical examination ranges from 70% to 84%, because other gastrointestinal and genitourinary tract abnormalities can present similarly. (2) A delay in diagnosis or an incorrect diagnosis causes serious complications. (3,4) Although history and physical examination results remain the cornerstone of the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, many additional adjuncts have been proposed to increase diagnostic accuracy (3).

These include laboratory investigations like WBC count, C reactive protein, serum markers, scoring systems, ultrasonography, computed tomographic (CT) scanning, and laparoscopy (3,5), but no laboratory or radiological test is 100% accurate. (6)

Unfortunately, the clinical benefit of none of the scores has been tested in an adequate controlled study, (7, 8) negative appendectomy rate of 7% was found. (7)

Regarding laboratory investigations, normal appendices were found in 13.4% and 32.5% of the patients who had high and normal white blood cell counts, respectively. (9)

Both ultrasonography (US) and computed tomography (CT) help in diagnosis of acute appendicitis (10) Sonography is preferred more than CT; as the initial imaging study for young, female, and slender patients, (11) although CT is less operator dependent than sonography. (10,12) It may help in surgical planning. (10, 13) has sensitivity and specificity rates of 93% and 92%, respectively (12, 13), can safely exclude appendicitis (12) without CT rates of appendectomy with normal findings (negative appendectomies) was of 13.4 to 33% (4, 7, 10, 13-15).

On the other hand there are authors claiming that it has no significant contribution to the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, and that they in fact delay treatment and therefore result in increased perforation rates with negative appendectomy rates reaching up to 20% and the rates of negative appendectomy have remained unchanged (4,8).

We aim to determine the alternative diagnosis for the pain in which appendicitis was considered and to find the incidence of negative appendectomies in our practice.

Patients and methods
An observational study including 558 patients, who underwent appendectomy at Al Sulaimaniyah Teaching Hospital (STH) from the 2nd of January to the 30th of June 2009. Only patients who underwent urgent appendectomy and specimen subjected to tissue examination were included. All the patients were operated for appendicitis by open appendectomy on the basis of history, physical findings, relevant clinical data, investigations, plain abdominal radiography and ultrasound. The Ethics committee of Al Sulaimaniyah University – Medical College approved the research protocol, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Demographic data regarding age, gender, occupation, duration, details of symptoms and clinical signs of acute appendicitis were recorded

Baseline laboratory assessment included leucocytes count and urine analysis, imaging including; plain radiography of abdomen was performed in 89 patients and ultrasonography was performed in 480 (84% of) patients and the remaining patients 78 (13.97%) underwent surgery without diagnostic ultrasonography.
because they were admitted during the night when the ultrasound is not available.

All the patients were told not to take anything orally for 4 hours. After the decision was made by the on call surgeon, and then the patients were seen by anesthetist doctors. During induction of anesthesia prophylactic antibiotics given as 1 g Ampicillin-Cloxacillin (or Ceftriaxone when the patient was allergic to penicillin), and 80 mg (or the dose was adjusted to the weight in children) of gentamicin intravenously.

Through right sided grid iron incision (3-7 cm), centered on the McBurney point, formal minilaparotomy was done; peritoneal cavity was inspected for any fluid, pus, blood, Meckel's diverticulum, ovarian pathology, etc. Caecum was identified, the appendix was found, retrograde appendectomy was done in most of the cases 549 (98.38%) and ante grade for the others.

Appendices were labeled acutely inflamed when, macroscopically there were intravascular injection of mucosa, fibrinous or purulent film, edematous or necrotic changes of the wall and blood or pus on opening the appendix. (15)

All excised appendices or any excised tissue were sent for histopathology. Patients were discharged on the base of day case surgery when they fulfilled discharging criteria as following: stable vital signs, apyretic: no wound or airway problems, tolerating diet, and established autonomy at discharge, possession of a telephone, suitable home accommodation and adequate home support upon discharge (16), to report on need, or after 7 days.

Histopathological criteria of acutely inflamed appendix were granulocytic invasion of the mucosa (erosive), deeper lesions to the submucosa (erosive or ulcerated), or into the muscular wall (ulcer or inflammation). Perforating appendicitis was diagnosed in cases of periappendical abscess, gangrene, or when lesions penetrated the wall, and were verified macroscopically. Scarring and specific lesions were not considered as acute appendicitis. (17)

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 16, A P-value of less than .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results
A total of 558 patients had appendectomy for clinically diagnosed acute appendicitis over 6 months at Al Sulaimaniyah Teaching Hospital. Most of the patients were young between 20-40 years age with median age of 22 ±7.7years. Three hundred and five patients (54.66%) from sum of 558 patients were females, two hundred fifty three (45.34%) patients were males and with female to male ratio 5/4.

More than three quarters of the patients presented within 6 hours from the onset of abdominal pain and three quarters underwent operations within 6-12 hours and the rest within first 24 hours after admission, (Table 1). Only 491 (87.99%) patients presented with right iliac fossa pain, while the rest had generalized or central abdominal pain, and 312 (55.91%) had tenderness in the right iliac fossa. More than three quarters of the patients 451 (80.82%) had nausea, while 257 (46.05%) of the patients had vomiting before the onset of the pain and 30 (5.37%) patients had vomiting after the onset of pain. Three hundred and sixty eight (65.94%) patients were anorexic, 62 (11.11%) had dysuria and only 89 (15.94%) patients had mild pyrexia up to 38.2 degree centigrade.

Histological normal appendix was present in 178 (31.89 %) patients, 61 (10.93%) of them were males and 117 (20.96%) were females (Table 1) (P-value=0.0259).

Among 558 patients who were suspected clinically of having acute appendicitis, intraoperative finding revealed that 206 (36.92%) patients had acutely inflamed appendix, 113 (20.25%) suppurative appendicitis, 32 (5.73%) gangrenous appendicitis, 12 (2.15%) had perforated appendix. One patient had Carcinoid tumor in the base of the appendix and in 7 (1.25%) cases there were Enterobeous Vermicularis (E.V) found inside the lumen of the acutely inflamed appendices, (Table 2).

Fifty nine (10.03%) patients were diagnosed intraoperative by naked eyes as normal appendix, 18 of them showed pathological
changes on the histological examination. While 14 (2.51%) appendices labeled during the operations as appendicitis were histopathologically normal.

Other pathologies presented in patients with macroscopically normal appendices, included 35 (6.27%) patients had purulent peritoneal fluids occurring in young female with tubo-ovarian infections, 12 (2.15%) patients had mesenteric lymphadenitis and 37 (6.63%) of the patients had rupture graafian follicles (Table 3).

Some patients with normal appendices had co-accidental normal findings like 14(2.50%) of female patients had uncomplicated ovarian cyst in those underwent operation without ultrasonographic examination of the abdomen, 4 (0.71%) non-inflamed Meckel’s diverticulum. Gynecologist was consulted about tubo-ovarian infections, ovarian cysts and rupture graafian follicles and, appendices from all these patients were removed.

Table (1): Negative appendectomies and alternative diagnosis; difference in gender, time of appendectomy, in each age group with their complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Time from pain to operation (hours)</th>
<th>Co morbidity</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>♂</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Histopathological results of all the patients underwent open appendectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency no. and (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>206 (36.92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal appendix</td>
<td>178 (31.89%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppurative appendix</td>
<td>113 (20.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangrenous appendix</td>
<td>32 (5.73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforated appendix</td>
<td>12 (2.15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acutely inflamed appendix obstructed with E.V*</td>
<td>7 (1.25 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid at the base of appendix</td>
<td>1 (0.179 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>558 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Enterobeous Vermicularis.

Table (3): Alternative diagnosis were found in normal appendices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings in the patients with normal appendix</th>
<th>Frequency no. and (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rupture Graafian follicle</td>
<td>37 (6.63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purulent peritoneal fluid (pelvic infection, tuboovarian infections)</td>
<td>35 (6.27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric lymphadenitis</td>
<td>12 (2.15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**
The present work showed that more than 60% who had normal appendix were females and their mean age was 18±5.6 years. The findings are in the line with the reported difficulties in female adolescence and young females (2, 12, 13, 18-20). The accuracy of a clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis based on patient’s history
and physical examination ranges from 70% to 84%. In women of childbearing age, this figure decreases to 60–68% because of the overlap of symptoms from acute gynecologic abnormalities (2).

In the current study results showed that the incidence of negative appendectomy to be 178 (31.89%) which is comparable to recently published literatures, declaring that rates of appendectomy with normal findings (negative appendectomies) were in the range of 13.4 to 33% (4, 7, 10, 13-15, 21). Appendectomy for a normal appendix is associated with both morbidity and mortality. (21, 22) Although the morbidity and mortality are of the same quality, but with higher frequencies i.e., up to 5% of patients will develop intestinal obstruction following surgery for a normal appendix. (23)

The diagnostic tools like white cell counts, urine analysis and ultrasonography have not been shown conclusively to improve the outcome in terms of negative finding on appendectomy. (3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 24, 25)

The surgeons who did the operations considered all the patients (n=558) to have acute appendicitis, while pathological results showed 178 excised appendices to be normal. One of the reasons for this finding may be that the majority of surgeons favor early operation. It was stated that negative appendectomy is higher among patients who received immediate surgery after admission to a hospital. (8, 26) Furthermore a recent retrospective study found no significant differences in complications between early (less than 12 hours after presentation) and late (12-24 hours) appendectomy. (8)

In this work all (n=588) appendices were removed, even when they look macroscopically normal. The points of defense are, first endoluminal appendicitis occurs in 11-58% of apparently normal appendices which were removed. Secondly it is accepted generally to remove normal appendices during open appendectomies. (8, 27) The third point is that normal-looking appendices have a 22% chance of being inflamed on further sophisticated investigations. (18) It is also reported that children underwent appendectomy for either infected or normal appendix have reduced chance of developing ulcerative colitis. (27)

During appendectomy, 14 appendices were labeled by naked eyes to be acutely inflamed, but histopathology showed them to be normal appendices. Five of which were associated with tubo-ovarian infection, and three were associated with rupture graafian follicles, while the rest have no any alternative pathology. Jane E. et al, gave an explanation for that the appendix in some patients with colonic diverticulitis, colitis, or pelvic inflammatory disease had a secondary edema or serosal inflammation. (28)

There was one case of carcinoid tumor presented as acute appendicitis, it was evident as yellowish small oval mass (8mm x 4mm) in the base of the appendix, this presentation is not going in line with literature. Studies were reporting that carcinoid tumors were not evident macroscopically. The incidence (0.17%) out of 558 patents also higher than what found in the literature (0.1%) out of 1000 patients (29, 30) P-value=0.001.

In the current work seven patients had Enteroboeous Vermicularis in appendicular lumen, when histological results showed inflamed appendix. This is comparable to literature “Enteroboeous infection is often associated with acute appendicitis and perforation of an inflamed retrocaecal appendix” (10, 18, 31).

Imaging will help in accuracy of diagnosis and decreasing negative appendectomy, it also may detect alternative diagnosis in patients with features of acute appendicitis. It may be necessary to use imaging to raise the accuracy of clinico-laboratory diagnosis of acute appendicitis, but not routinely, saving for special groups with difficulty in clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

The limitations of the work
One of the limitations of the current work is that, we haven’t started diagnostic laparoscopy in our centre, which may significantly reduce the rate of removal of histo-pathologically normal appendices, (18, 19) accordingly we are not able to discuss the effect of laparoscopy on reducing negative appendectomies. Another limitation is the CT
of the abdomen not ordered although it is available in restricted time in our Emergency Department (from 08.00 to 1400). This makes us have no wide concept of the effect of the CT scan on reducing negative appendectomy, although there are studies claiming that CT have been used to diagnose appendicitis with no additional diagnostic specificity. \(^{(2,12,13,32,33)}\) Also it needs ionizing radiation; it is not routinely available at all hours and has false negative results as high as 15\%. \(^{(3,4,7,11-13,28)}\)

The effect of ionizing radiation may be a drawback especially the patients with uncertain clinical diagnosis, who need a CT usually are adolescence or young child bearing ladies \(^{(2,12,13,18,19,28)}\).

**Conclusion**

Normal appendices were found in 32 % of the patients, more frequently in young female patients, undergoing early (within 6 hrs since the pain) appendectomy, with the most common alternative diagnosis of tubo-ovarian infections.
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